

On a semilinear elliptic equation with inverse-square potential

Haïm Brezis, Louis Dupaigne and Alberto Tesei

Abstract. We study the existence and nonexistence of solutions to a semilinear elliptic equation with inverse-square potential. The dividing line with respect to existence or nonexistence is given by a critical exponent, which depends on the strength of the potential.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 35D05 (primary), 35J15 (secondary).

Key words. Inverse-square potential, distribution solutions, nonexistence.

In this paper we study the existence and nonexistence of solutions $u \geq 0$ of the equation

$$-\Delta u = \frac{c}{r^2}u + u^p \quad (1)$$

in a ball $B_R = B(0, R)$ of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$. Here $r = |x|$, $p > 1$ and the coefficient c satisfies the inequality $0 < c \leq c_0$, where $c_0 = (N - 2)^2/4$ is the best constant in the Hardy inequality.

In this study an important role is played by the roots

$$\alpha = \alpha^\pm := (N - 2)/2 \pm \sqrt{c_0 - c}$$

of the equation

$$\alpha^2 - (N - 2)\alpha + c = 0. \quad (2)$$

Observe that $\alpha^+ > \alpha^- > 0$.

Our main result asserts that nontrivial solutions of equation (1) exist if and only if $p < p^+$ where

$$p^+ = 1 + 2/\alpha^-.$$

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \leq c \leq c_0$. For any $p \in (1, p^+)$, there exists a nontrivial solution to equation (1) with u^p and u/r^2 belonging to $L^1(B_R)$ and (1) holds in $\mathcal{D}'(B_R)$.*

The proof of Theorem 1 is straightforward and elementary, except for the limiting value $c = c_0$. The conclusion of Theorem 1 was known in many – but pre-

sumably not all – cases (see e.g. [12]). Concerning nonexistence we have

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < c \leq c_0$, $p \geq p^+$. Assume $u \in L_{loc}^p(B_R \setminus \{0\})$, $u \geq 0$ satisfies*

$$-\Delta u - \frac{c}{r^2}u \geq u^p$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(B_R \setminus \{0\})$. Then $u \equiv 0$.

Theorem 2 is reminiscent of the nonexistence results of Brezis–Cabr e [2] concerning the so-called very weak solutions to the inequality

$$-\Delta u \geq \frac{u^p}{r^2}, \quad u \geq 0, \quad u \in L_{loc}^p(B_R \setminus \{0\}),$$

for any $p > 1$. The nonexistence aspect (1) when $p \geq p^+$ was first investigated by Pohozaev–Tesei [11]. However, the concept of solution used there was stronger; our concept is the weakest possible.

We also observe that Theorem 2 seems (formally) to contradict the Implicit Function Theorem since there is no solution of $-\Delta u = (c/|x|^2)u + u^p + t$, even when $t > 0$ is small. As observed in [1], this is due to the lack of an appropriate functional space in which to apply the IFT.

Proof of Theorem 1. Set $p^- = 1 + 2/\alpha^+$ and observe that

$$1 < p^- < \frac{N+2}{N-2} < p^+ \quad \text{for any } 0 < c < c_0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} p^- &= \frac{N}{N-2}, & \lim_{c \rightarrow c_0} p^- &= \frac{N+2}{N-2}, \\ \lim_{c \rightarrow 0} p^+ &= +\infty, & \lim_{c \rightarrow c_0} p^+ &= \frac{N+2}{N-2}. \end{aligned}$$

We distinguish three cases:

Case 1: $0 \leq c < c_0$ and $p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$.

Here the existence of a positive solution $u \in H_0^1(B_R)$ of (1) is a standard and straightforward consequence of the Mountain Pass Theorem. In fact, one can find a radial solution by working in the class of radial functions.

Case 2: $0 \leq c < c_0$ and $p^- < p < p^+$.

Here we have an explicit solution of (1) of the form $u = A/r^\beta$ with $\beta = 2/(p-1)$, $A > 0$ given by

$$A^{p-1} = -\beta^2 + (N-2)\beta - c > 0,$$

because α^-, α^+ are the roots of (2) and the restriction $\alpha^- < \beta < \alpha^+$ is equivalent to the condition $p^- < p < p^+$. Since $\beta < N-2$, u satisfies (1) in the sense of $\mathcal{D}'(B_R)$.

Case 3: $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_0$ and $\mathbf{1} < \mathbf{p} < \mathbf{p}^+ = \frac{N+2}{N-2}$.

This case is a little more delicate : here we need the improved Hardy inequality which asserts that

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 \geq c_0 \int_{B_R} \frac{u^2}{r^2} + c_q \|u\|_{L^q(B_R)}^2,$$

for any $1 \leq q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(B_R)$; see [5]. Let H be the Hilbert space obtained by completing $C_0^\infty(B_R)$ with respect to the scalar product

$$a(u, v) = \int_{B_R} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - c_0 \int_{B_R} uv/r^2.$$

Clearly H is contained in every $L^q(B_R)$ with $1 \leq q < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ with continuous injection. Moreover the injection is compact. This fact is due to H. Brezis and the proof is presented in Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 of [7]. We may then use the Mountain Pass theorem in H and the Palais–Smale condition is satisfied.

Proof of Theorem 2. We will use the following lemma which can be seen as a fairly easy consequence of Theorem 7.7 in [9]. It is also closely related to a result in [4]. We provide a proof for completeness.

Lemma 1. *Let $\Sigma \subset\subset \Omega$ be a closed set of zero (newtonian) capacity and assume that $u, f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ are two nonnegative functions such that*

$$-\Delta u \geq f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \setminus \Sigma).$$

Then $u, f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and

$$-\Delta u \geq f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

Furthermore given any smooth subdomain $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$, if $v \in L^1(\Omega')$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = f & \text{in } \Omega' \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega', \end{cases}$$

in the sense that

$$\int v(-\Delta\phi) = \int f\phi \quad \forall \phi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}') \quad \text{such that } \phi|_{\partial\Omega'} \equiv 0,$$

then

$$u \geq v \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega'. \tag{3}$$

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $u_k = \min(u, k)$, $k > 0$, which by Kato's lemma (see [10]) satisfies

$$-\Delta u_k \geq f_k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \setminus \Sigma), \tag{4}$$

where $f_k := f\chi_{\{u < k\}}$. Since $-\Delta u_k$ is a nonnegative distribution on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$, it extends to a nonnegative measure on $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$. Since u_k is bounded, it follows from a Gagliardo–Nirenberg-type inequality that $u_k \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$. We show next that in fact $u_k \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$. We first take a nonnegative function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ and a sequence $\phi_n \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ converging to ϕ in $H^1(\Omega)$. This is always possible since $\text{cap}_\Omega(\Sigma) = 0$ (take e.g. $\phi_n = \phi(1 - \chi_n)$ where $\chi_n = 1$ near Σ and $\|\chi_n\|_{H^1} \rightarrow 0 = \text{cap}_\Omega(\Sigma)$). We then have, with $C_k = e^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2 &\leq C_k \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2 = -C_k \int \phi_n^2 \nabla(e^{-u_k}) \cdot \nabla u_k \\ &= C_k \left(2 \int e^{-u_k} \phi_n \nabla \phi_n \cdot \nabla u_k + \int e^{-u_k} \Delta u_k \phi_n^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2C_k^2 \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla \phi_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int e^{-u_k} |\nabla u_k|^2 \phi_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int |\nabla(u_k \phi_n)|^2 \leq C'_k \int |\nabla \phi_n|^2.$$

Passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality implies that $u_k \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$.

We next show that

$$-\Delta u_k \geq f_k \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega). \quad (5)$$

Take ϕ and ϕ_n as above, so that by (4),

$$\int u_k(-\Delta \phi_n) \geq \int f_k \phi_n. \quad (6)$$

Now, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int u_k(-\Delta \phi_n) = \int \nabla u_k \nabla \phi_n \rightarrow \int \nabla u_k \nabla \phi = - \int u_k \Delta \phi.$$

Passing to the limit in (6) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we thus obtain (5).

In particular u_k is superharmonic in Ω and given almost any $x \in \Omega$ and any ball $B \subset \Omega$ centered at x , we have

$$u_k(x) \geq \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B u_k(y) dy. \quad (7)$$

Now, since $u \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$ (and $|\Sigma| = 0$), $u_k \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Ω as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and u is finite almost everywhere. By Fatou's lemma we then conclude from (7) that for almost every ball B ,

$$\int_B u < \infty,$$

which means that $u \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$. Using this information, we can now easily pass to the limit in (5) and conclude that $f \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ and that

$$-\Delta u \geq f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega).$$

It only remains to prove (3). We let ρ_n be a standard smooth mollifier and let $u_n = u * \rho_n$, $f_n = f * \rho_n$ so that for n large enough $-\Delta u_n \geq f_n$ and $u_n \geq 0$ in Ω' . By the maximum principle

$$u_n \geq v_n \quad \text{in } \Omega',$$

where v_n solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_n = f_n & \text{in } \Omega' \\ v_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega'. \end{cases}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^1(\Omega')$ and (by Lemma 4 in [3]) $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^1(\Omega')$, which yields the desired conclusion. \square

We can now turn to the

Proof of Theorem 2. We argue by contradiction and assume that $u \not\equiv 0$. By Lemma 1, $u \in L^p_{loc}(B_R)$, $u/r^2 \in L^1_{loc}(B_R)$ and by the mean-value formula for superharmonic functions, given $R' \in (0, R)$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $u \geq \epsilon$ a.e. in $B_{R'}$. Let $\lambda := \epsilon^{q-1}/2 > 0$ and v_0 be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_0 = \lambda & \text{in } B_{R'} \\ v_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'}. \end{cases}$$

Once more by Lemma 1, we have

$$0 \leq v_0 \leq u. \quad (8)$$

Next, for $n \geq 1$, define inductively v_n by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v_n = \frac{c}{|x|^2} v_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} v_{n-1}^p + \lambda & \text{in } B_{R'} \\ v_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'} \end{cases}$$

In order to have a well-defined solution v_n (in the sense of Lemma 4 in [3]) it suffices to prove that $f := \frac{c}{|x|^2} v_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} v_{n-1}^p \in L^1(B_{R'})$. For $n = 0$, this follows from (8) and Lemma 1 which implies that $\frac{c}{|x|^2} u + \frac{1}{2} u^p \in L^1(B_{R'})$. Assume now that $v_{n-1} \in L^1(B_{R'})$ is well-defined. Using the maximum principle, it is easy to see that

$$0 \leq v_0 \leq v_1 \leq \dots \leq v_{n-1} \leq u,$$

whence $f \in L^1(B_{R'})$ and by the maximum principle again, $0 \leq v_{n-1} \leq v_n \leq u$.

By monotone convergence, letting $v := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n$, we have that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta v = \frac{c}{|x|^2} v + \frac{1}{2} v^p + \lambda & \text{in } B_{R'} \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_{R'}, \end{cases}$$

in the sense that given any $\phi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{R'})$ such that $\phi|_{\partial B_{R'}} \equiv 0$,

$$\int v(-\Delta\phi) = \int \frac{c}{|x|^2} v\phi + \frac{1}{2} \int v^p \phi + \lambda \int \phi.$$

This contradicts Theorem 1 of [6]. \square

Remark 1. Theorems 1 and 2 extend to more general situations – for example, when u^p is replaced by $|x|^{-\beta} u^q$. Assume $0 < c \leq c_0$ and set $q^+ = 1 + \frac{2-\beta}{\alpha^-}$, where α^- is as above. The conclusions of Theorems 1 and 2 remain valid with p^+ replaced by q^+ .

Remark 2. The argument presented in the proof of Theorem 2 may be used to provide a slightly simpler proof of Theorem 1 in [2].

Remark 3. Theorem 2 can be extended to problems of the type

$$-\Delta u = \frac{c}{\text{dist}(x, \Sigma)^2} u + u^p,$$

where $c > 0$ is a small constant, Σ is a smooth compact manifold of codimension $k \geq 3$ and p is larger than some critical exponent, which can be computed explicitly in terms of k and c . The argument is the same as in the proof of Theorem 2 except that the result of [6] is replaced by a result from [8].

Acknowledgments. The three authors are partially supported by an EC Grant through the RTN Program “Fronts-Singularities”, HPRN-CT-2002-00274. The first author (H.B.) is also a member of the Institut Universitaire de France.

References

- [1] H. Brezis. Is there failure of the Inverse Function Theorem? In: Haim Brezis, ShuJie Li, JiaQuan Liu and Paul Rabinowitz (eds.), *Morse theory, Minimax theory and their Applications in Nonlinear Differential Equations. Proc. Workshop held at the Chinese Acad. of Sciences, Beijing, 1999*. 23–33, International Press, 2003.
- [2] H. Brezis and X. Cabré. Some simple nonlinear PDE’s without solutions. *Bull. UMI* **1** (1998), 223–262.
- [3] H. Brezis, Th. Cazenave, Y. Martel and A. Ramiandrisoa. Blow-up for $u_t - \Delta u = g(u)$ revisited. *Adv. Diff. Eq.* **1** (1996), 73–90.
- [4] H. Brezis and P. L. Lions. A note on isolated singularities for linear elliptic equations. In: *Mathematical analysis and applications*. 263–266. Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. **7a**. Academic Press, New York–London, 1981.
- [5] H. Brezis and J. L. Vazquez. Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid* **10** (1997), 443–469.
- [6] L. Dupaigne. A nonlinear elliptic PDE with the inverse-square potential. *J. d’Analyse Mathématique* **86** (2002), 359–398.

- [7] J. Dávila and L. Dupaigne. Comparison principles for PDE's with a singular potential. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **133A** (2003), 61–83.
- [8] L. Dupaigne and G. Nedev. Semilinear elliptic PDE's with a singular potential. *Adv. Differential Equations* **7** (2002), 973–1002.
- [9] L. L. Helms. *Introduction to potential theory*. Wiley and Sons, New York, 1969.
- [10] T. Kato. Schrödinger operators with singular potentials. *Israel J. Math.* **13** (1972), 135–148.
- [11] S. I. Pohozaev and A. Tesei. Nonexistence of local solutions to semilinear partial differential inequalities. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré – Analyse Nonlinéaire* (to appear).
- [12] S. Terracini. On positive entire solutions to a class of equations with a singular coefficient and critical exponent. *Adv. Differential Equations* **1** (1996), 241–264.

Haïm Brezis
Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions
Université Pierre et Marie Curie
Boîte Courrier 187
4 place Jussieu
75252 Paris Cedex 05
France
brezis@ann.jussieu.fr

and
Department of Mathematics – Hill Center
Rutgers Univ.
110 Frelinghuysen Rd.
Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019
USA
brezis@math.rutgers.edu

Louis Dupaigne
Université de Picardie
Faculté de Mathématiques
33, Rue Saint Leu
80 039 Amiens
France
louis.dupaigne@u-picardie.fr

Alberto Tesei
Dipartimento di Matematica “G. Castelnuovo”
Università di Roma “La Sapienza”
P. le A. Moro 5
I-00185 Roma
Italia
tesei@mat.uniroma1.it



To access this journal online:
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>
